1	ADDEADANCEC
1	APPEARANCES
2	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: CRAIG J. GABRIEL U.S. Attorney's Office
4	1000 SW Third Avenue Suite 600
5	Portland, OR 97204
6	FOR THE DEFENDANT: TODD E. BOFFERDING
7	Attorney at Law 1215 B Street
8	P.O. Box 539 Hood River, OR 97031
9	
10	FOR THE DEFENDANT:
11	MACE YAMPOLSKY Mace Yampolsky & Associates
12	625 S 6th Street Las Vegas, NV 89101
13	
14	
15 16	
17	
18	
19	COURT REPORTER: Jill L. Jessup, CSR, RMR, RDR, CRR, CRC
20	United States District Courthouse 1000 SW Third Avenue, Room 301
21	Portland, OR 97204 (503)326-8191
22	
23	* * *
24	
25	

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

(October 25, 2016)

(In open court:)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. Please be I apologize for the delay. We had matters related for seated. the ongoing trial that are still pending, but we've taken a recess to address this.

MR. GABRIEL: Thank you, Your Honor. Craig Gabriel for the United States. We're here in the matter of United States v. Brian Cavalier. It's Case No. 16-cr-00051. Mr. Cavalier is defendant number six in the case. The Court has received victim impact statements in the case. We've also provided those to counsel.

The quideline calculation is as follows: There's a base offense level of ten, a three-level firearm enhancement. Minus two levels for acceptance of responsibility. Plus seven levels under Section 3A1.4, Note Four. And then pursuant to other quidelines factors, the parties are recommending seven levels off. That leads to a total offense level of 11.

Mr. Cavalier is in Criminal History Category I. advisory quideline range is, therefore, 8 to 14 months. Mr. Cavalier has been in custody for nine months, and the parties are jointly recommending a sentence of time served, which would be a guideline sentence in this case.

The parties are further recommending that the sentence

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

imposed here in the District of Oregon be concurrent to any sentence that might be imposed in District of Nevada Case No. 2:16-cr-46-GMN-PAL.

The parties are further recommending three years of supervised release with the conditions in the presentence report, with the exception of reentry court, Your Honor.

And then, finally, with respect to restitution, the parties agree that some restitution is owing but that the calculation of restitution is complex. So the parties are agreeing that the Court should retain jurisdiction over restitution for a period longer than 90 days for a final restitution determination.

THE COURT: Apart from the seven-level guideline -the downward seven levels, all the other guideline factors are as set out in the presentence report?

MR. GABRIEL: Correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Bofferding?

MR. BOFFERDING: Good afternoon, Your Honor.

Would you introduce your colleague here? THE COURT:

MR. BOFFERDING: Yes, Your Honor. This is Mace Yampolsky, attorney from Nevada. He's a CJA counsel

assigned in the District of Nevada.

MR. YAMPOLSKY: Good afternoon, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

Good afternoon, Mr. Cavalier.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Your Honor, we do not have any MR. BOFFERDING: objections to the presentence report, and the only thing I would like to add to the record is that we do understand that restitution is complex and that the Court will make that determination likely at a hearing longer than 90 days that's required by statute, and we would waive those 90 days for that purpose. He did plead guilty to Counts 1 and 2.

We do recommend and make -- we're asking the Court to recommend that the supervised release be served in the state where he actually ends up living, which is either going to be southern Nevada or in Arizona. And we're requesting a specific recommendation from the Court that it not be Oregon. He doesn't have much of a desire, really, to come back to Oregon.

And as far as the standard supervised release conditions, there were two of them in the presentence report: Two, which is reentry court, and seven is no contact with defendants. asking that neither of those be in place.

He has been in custody for nine months -- actually, nine months as of today -- which is within the guideline range. We're all right with three years of supervised release so he may be released to Nevada so he can deal with the charges down there.

THE COURT: With respect to the proposed conditions, number eight and nine, have you reviewed those with him --

> MR. BOFFERDING: I have.

THE COURT: -- with respect to not camping on federal land and not entering upon federal land managed by the BLM or Fish & Wildlife or the National Park Service of the Forest Service without prior approval?

MR. BOFFERDING: We understand.

THE COURT: You've reviewed all of those?

MR. BOFFERDING: We have.

THE COURT: There's no objection to those?

THE DEFENDANT: No objection.

THE COURT: With respect to the "no contact with co-defendant" condition, this is being proposed as a condition of supervised release. Assuming the Court imposes a sentence of time served, that will be as of today; but the supervised release term would not commence until the defendant is available for supervision; that is, until he's released from the Nevada hold at some point in the future. Is that correct?

MR. GABRIEL: That's correct, Your Honor. He has a detainer from the District of Nevada. Were the Court to follow the parties' recommendation, he would be transported in the marshals' custody until the conclusion of his Nevada case and any sentence that was a result of that, and only after he was released from Nevada would these supervised release conditions become effective.

So this condition would in no way affect his ability or his lawyer's ability to interact with other defendants or

defense counsel during the pendency of his Nevada case. 1 2 We do believe, however, that the no-contact condition upon his ultimate release is appropriate in the case. 3 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Bofferding, do you want 4 to be heard any further on that --5 MR. BOFFERDING: No, Your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: -- condition number seven? Mr. Cavalier, good afternoon. 8 THE DEFENDANT: Good afternoon. 9 10 THE COURT: I need to confirm you've seen the presentence report and reviewed it with your attorneys. Have 11 12 you? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. 14 THE COURT: And before I proceed, I'm required to 15 listen if there's anything you would like to add to what has been said. 16 17 THE DEFENDANT: I have no comment. 18 THE COURT: You have nothing else to say? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. 20 THE COURT: Did you see the letter what 21 Mr. Bofferding sent to me? 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 23 THE COURT: All right. And you were aware of the 24 government's sentencing memorandum consistent with the position 25 stated today?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: All right. The presentence report reflects the guidelines analysis initially summarized by the government, and the Court adopts the presentence report guidelines analysis as its own. A base offense level of ten, plus three, as recommended, minus two for acceptance, and plus seven, which leads to an initial offense level of 18, Category I. And then the seven levels the parties have agreed in reduction as part of their plea agreement.

The Court, having considered all of the information in the presentence report, going back to Mr. Cavalier's allocution at the time of his change of plea and now, even being more fully advised about the nature and circumstances of the underlying conspiracy conduct that Mr. -- Mr. Cavalier admitted, finds that the parties' plea agreement for a net sentence at a level 11, Criminal History Category I, and a time-served sentence of nine months, with three years of supervised release is itself reasonable, sufficient, and not greater than necessary to accomplish all of the sentencing purposes the Court is required to consider.

So I am imposing the sentence that the parties, in fact, agree to and have recommended.

What that means is that, Mr. Cavalier, on each count.

Count 1 and Count 2, I'm sentencing you to concurrent

time-served terms; meaning, you've fulfilled the custody

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

provision of the sentence. This is to be followed by a period of three years of supervised release, but that supervision will not commence until you have been released from the District of Nevada on the hold there.

If you're released without any consequences there, then the supervision here will begin. If you're found quilty there and sentenced to a term of imprisonment, the supervised release here won't start until that term of imprisonment concludes, and it likely would run concurrently with supervision there.

The conditions of supervision are as generally explained in the presentence report, but at their core they're designed to ensure that you obey all laws, that you report truthfully and regularly to your probation officer, and that you move on with your life in a way that is law abiding.

You'll have to get a job or go to school. You'll have to be engaged in a productive way so that the probation officer is assured what you're making progress. And especially you'll have to engage in work so that you generate income to the extent there is a restitution obligation imposed. You're going to be required to pay it and make reasonable monthly payments toward it as to the best of your ability. Willful refusal to pay restitution once it's imposed, can require -- can support a finding that your supervised release would be revoked and you could be sent back to prison.

Any new law violation could be a basis to find you in

violation of supervised release and send you back to prison.

The conditions also include -- number seven and eight -that you not go on to -- let me be precise here -- occupy,
reside on, or camp on any federal land without prior approval
of your probation officer; meaning, you would have to arrange
it in advance, get your probation officer's approval.

Likewise, you must not enter onto any federal lands managed by
the BLM, the Fish & Wildlife Service, the National Park
Service, or the Forest Service, again, without prior approval
of your probation officer. If it's approved, of course you can
do that.

This restitution issue, with respect to you and the others already sentenced and others who may yet to be sentenced, the matter is going to be deferred to a time when many people affected by it can be heard at one time. And although the rules anticipate that a decision would be made in 90 days, in a complex case like this one, the Court has authority to extend it, and I'm doing that now.

You and the government have agreed that some restitution is owing. What that amounts to I know will be contested as to its nature and extent, and so to the extent there remain disputes, there will be a time where there will be a hearing, and the government will have to prove up its allegations with witnesses. You and the others potentially affected will have an opportunity to challenge and to call witnesses of your own,

and, in the end, the Court will make findings and impose an award.

Assuming all the people affected are equally culpable, it could be a situation where all are required to pay it, but only one or two may have the means to do it. But anyone is subject to being garnished or collected against in order to get it paid if that person should have the resources. If any one person in a joint and several liability situation feels he or she has paid more than his fair share, then the redress is against the other person who hasn't paid their fair share. The obligation is owed by all until it's paid.

So there will be a judgment that's entered. If there was an inheritance or you're coming into money that you don't expect to get or might get, those are things that could be collected against that. Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: All right. There are conditions in your presentence report, then -- the so-called financial conditions of supervision, and they're intended to ensure your probation officer knows what your resources are -- your income and your debts, your expenses, and the like. You're not allowed to incur any new debts that are beyond what's specified in the conditions without permission. You will have to report your income into one account, pay your bills out of that account, and the like, just to ensure that if you have the means or

there will be a -- an opportunity to ensure that the restitution gets reduced.

I'm not imposing a reentry court condition. There's not any basis here to do that. I am requiring that you provide a DNA sample to your probation officer, if requested, and then once the -- once restitution is determined, then you're required to pay it. And it's a judgment that is due in full as soon as it's entered, and, thus, it could be collected upon as I indicated.

Now, with respect to the "no contact with co-defendants" condition, I am going to impose that as an initial condition of your supervised release. So not until supervised release starts. It's an initial condition, which means you need to work through your probation officer. If you believe there's a reason for you to have contact with anyone who has been accused in this case and any of the other 25, then you need to address it with your probation officer. And if your probation officer permits it, you may have that contact. If your probation officer denies it and you think that is wrong, then you bring it to the Court to have it worked out in advance. But if you had unauthorized contact once on supervised release, that will be a violation if it results in your being taken into custody if serious enough.

But that doesn't go into effect now. There's nothing in effect now in this case that precludes from you having contact

with your co-defendants here, especially those who are also accused in the Nevada case.

I recognize you need to get prepared there and address those matters, so you and your counsel are free to do whatever is reasonable in that respect.

It is my intention that the sentence I just imposed run concurrently, meaning at the same time with any sentence imposed in Nevada; but you need to understand that I don't control the Nevada outcome. If you are found guilty there, the sentencing judge there could impose a consecutive position because that would be that judge's authority to decide. I can't control it. All I can say is that I don't intend your case to run consecutively. I intend it to run concurrently.

There's a \$100 statutory assessment required for both of the felony counts. It's in your interest to get those paid sooner, rather than later, because as long as they're on the books, once you're in BOP custody -- not in Las Vegas, but in BOP custody -- they can start taking money off your account to get those paid. So it's in your interest to get those paid.

You've given up your right to appeal to a higher court the fact that I allowed you to plead guilty and any challenge to any sentence imposed, as long as I did not sentence you any higher than the correctly calculated guideline range, and, indeed, I sentenced you seven levels lower pursuant to guidelines. So, in my judgment, you don't have any right to

appeal.

The restitution piece is going to be separate. A final judgment is going to enter on your conviction and sentence today or tomorrow. There's a 14-day window within which to file a notice of appeal if you intended to challenge it; but if you did, you can count on the fact that Mr. Gabriel would move to dismiss the appeal because you have a deal. You, indeed, have a deal not to appeal if I didn't sentence you higher.

Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Finally, I recommend that Mr. Cavalier's supervised release be under the jurisdiction of the United States District Court in the district where he intends to reside upon commencement of his supervised release. He has no ties to the District of Oregon, and it would be improvident to require his supervision here. And so I recommend that once his supervision begins, there would be deliberate discourse to determine where it's in the defendant's best interest to be supervised.

Are there any other matters I need to address for the government?

MR. GABRIEL: Mr. Cavalier was named in the underlying original indictment. We move to dismiss that against him.

THE COURT: Yes. His pleas to Counts 1 and 2 arise

```
in the superseding indictment. All other charges in any form,
 1
 2
    by complaint, original indictment, or otherwise, are dismissed.
               MR. GABRIEL: Thank you, Your Honor. That's all.
 3
               THE COURT: Mr. Bofferding, anything else?
 4
               MR. BOFFERDING: One moment.
 5
 6
          No, Your Honor. Nothing to add.
 7
               THE COURT: Good luck to you, Mr. Cavalier.
               THE DEFENDANT:
 8
                               Thank you.
 9
               MR. BOFFERDING: Thank you, Your Honor.
                           We're in recess on this matter.
10
               THE COURT:
11
                           (Hearing concluded.)
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE United States of America v. Brian Cavalier 3:16-cr-00051-BR-6 SENTENCING October 25, 2016 I certify, by signing below, that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the record, taken by stenographic means, of the proceedings in the above-entitled cause. A transcript without an original signature, conformed signature, or digitally signed signature is not certified. /s/Jill L. Jessup, CSR, RMR, RDR, CRR, CRC Official Court Reporter Signature Date: 2/12/18 Oregon CSR No. 98-0346 CSR Expiration Date: 9/30/20